

## ALEC EXPOSED

"ALEC" has long been a secretive collaboration between Big Business and "conservative" politicians. Behind closed doors, they ghostwrite "model" bills to be introduced in state capitols across the country. This agenda--underwritten by global corporations--includes major tax loopholes for big industries and the super rich, proposals to offshore U.S. jobs and gut minimum wage, and efforts to weaken public health, safety, and environmental protections. Although many of these bills have become law, until now, their origin has been largely unknown. With **ALEC EXPOSED**, the Center for Media and Democracy hopes more Americans will study the bills to understand the depth and breadth of how big corporations are changing the legal rules and undermining democracy across the nation.

## ALEC's Corporate Board --in recent past or present

- AT&T Services, Inc.
- centerpoint360
- UPS
- Bayer Corporation
- GlaxoSmithKline
- Energy Future Holdings
- Johnson & Johnson
- Coca-Cola Company
- PhRMA
- Kraft Foods, Inc.
- Coca-Cola Co.
- Pfizer Inc.
- Reed Elsevier, Inc.
- DIAGEO
- Peabody Energy
- Intuit, Inc.
- Koch Industries, Inc.
- ExxonMobil
- Verizon
- Reynolds American Inc.
- Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
- Salt River Project
- Altria Client Services, Inc.
- American Bail Coalition
- State Farm Insurance

For more on these corporations, search at [www.SourceWatch.org](http://www.SourceWatch.org).

**DID YOU KNOW?** Corporations VOTED to adopt this. Through ALEC, global companies work as "equals" in "unison" with politicians to write laws to govern your life. Big Business has "a VOICE and a VOTE," according to newly exposed documents. **DO YOU?**

[Home](#) → [Model Legislation](#) → Tax and Fiscal Policy ←

### GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMPETITION ACT

Did you know that the Evergreen Freedom Foundation--which is connected to the Kochs--was the corporate co-chair in 2011?"

#### Summary

This model bill provides a general model for state government privatization efforts. States should adapt this model to meet their own particular needs and circumstances regarding privatization. It prohibits state agencies, institutions, or political subdivisions supported in whole or part by any state revenues, from engaging in any activity which is in competition with private enterprise unless the agency, institution, or political subdivision can demonstrate that there is an overriding or compelling public interest served by the state's provision of the service. It also sets standards for state agencies, authorized to engage in an activity in competition with private enterprise, to follow.

#### Model Legislation

{Title, enacting clause, etc}

#### Section 1.

This Act may be cited as the Government Services Competition Act.

#### Section 2. {Definitions.}

An Act relating to activities in competition with private enterprise.

(A) As used in this Act, an "activity in competition with private enterprise" means an activity which:

- (1) Is undertaken by a state agency, institution, or political subdivision that is supported in whole or in part from any state revenues; and
- (2) Can be performed by an existing private enterprise situated within the State.

#### Section 3. {Legislative Findings.}

Except as otherwise provided in this Act, and notwithstanding any other provisions of law, it shall be the policy that no state agency, institution, or political subdivision supported in whole or part by any state revenues shall engage in any activity which is in competition with private enterprise unless the agency, institution, or political subdivision can demonstrate that there is an overriding or compelling public interest served by the state's provision of the service. Examples of activities provided by the state which may carry an overriding or compelling public interest include certain aspects of the criminal justice system; activities and services of various kinds provided by educational institutions; programs of the state development finance authority; and health services such as those provided by state owned or operated hospitals.

**Section 4.** The commissioner of the "public protection and regulation agency" [insert appropriate state agency] shall determine, upon petition by any person directly affected by competition with a state agency, institution, or political subdivision whether the agency, institution, or political subdivision is 'in competition with private enterprise.

**Section 5.** If, after a hearing at which all parties have been afforded an opportunity to present evidence, the commissioner finds that the agency, institution, or political subdivision is engaged in an activity in competition with private enterprise, he/she shall direct the agency, institution, or political subdivision to terminate the activity unless he/she also finds that:

(A) Cessation of the activity by the agency, institution, or political subdivision will create a bona fide emergency;

(B) The cost of the service from private enterprise will be at least 10 percent greater than the cost of the services provided by government;

(C) Private enterprise cannot adequately provide the needed service; or

(D) Cessation of the activity will cause irreparable harm or loss of substantial invested funds to the state.

**Section 6.** The commissioner shall submit a decision along with written findings within 20 days decision to authorize or terminate the activity of the agency or institution and shall make copies available to all interested parties.

**Section 7.** An appeal from an order of the commissioner may be taken to the circuit court where the petitioner does business. Such appeal shall not be de novo. The petitioner, if unsuccessful, shall pay the costs of the hearing and appeal incurred by the state, if any, including reasonable attorney's fees.

**Section 8.** Activities of a state agency, institution, or political subdivision which were undertaken prior to, and are in operation as of [insert date], and which are found under this Act to be in competition with private enterprise.

**Section 6.** The commissioner shall submit a decision along with written findings within 20 days decision to authorize or terminate the activity of the agency or institution and shall make copies available to all interested parties.

**Section 7.** An appeal from an order of the commissioner may be taken to the circuit court where the petitioner does business. Such appeal shall not be de nova. The petitioner, if unsuccessful, shall pay the costs of the hearing and appeal incurred by the state, if any, including reasonable attorney's fees.

**Section 8.** Activities of a state agency, institution, or political subdivision which were undertaken prior to, and are in operation as of [insert date], and which are found under this Act to be in competition with private enterprise and ordered terminated, may continue until the expiration date of any contract that would be adversely affected by the cessation of the activity.

**Section 9.** If a state agency, institution, or political subdivision of the state demonstrates an overriding or compelling public interest for the provision of any activity in competition with private enterprise, it nevertheless shall be the policy of the state to contract with the private sector for the provision of that activity insofar as it is feasible and in the public interest.

**Section 10.** If a state agency, institution, or political subdivision is authorized to engage in an activity in competition with private enterprise, it shall be the policy of the state to set a fee or charge a price for that activity which shall include consideration of:

**Exposed**

By the Center for  
Media and Democracy  
[www.prwatch.org](http://www.prwatch.org)

(A) The fair market value of the activity; and

(B) The actual costs incurred in engaging in the activity, including the costs and value of labor, real estate, equipment, overhead, and other related expenses. Insofar as appropriate or deemed expedient in order to serve the public interest, fees or prices charged for public activities shall reflect the fair market value or the actual costs incurred.

**Section 11.** No later than [insert date] of each odd numbered year, the secretary shall submit a report on government competition with private enterprise to the legislature and the governor. The report shall include recommendations concerning whether the competitive government activities identified and reviewed by the secretary should be continued.

**Section 12.** {Severability clause.}

**Section 13.** {Repealer clause.} ← Were your laws repealed?

**Section 14.** {Effective date.}

**About Us and ALEC EXPOSED.** The Center for Media and Democracy reports on corporate spin and government propaganda. We are located in Madison, Wisconsin, and publish [www.PRWatch.org](http://www.PRWatch.org), [www.SourceWatch.org](http://www.SourceWatch.org), and now [www.ALECexposed.org](http://www.ALECexposed.org). For more information contact: [editor@prwatch.org](mailto:editor@prwatch.org) or 608-260-9713.